UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,142 10/30/2003		Won-Sang Park	21ST91310 US	3446
90323 Innovation Cou	7590 05/18/201 ¹ nsel LLP	EXAMINER		
21771 Stevens (Creek Blvd		PIZIALI, JEFFREY J	
Ste. 200A Cupertino, CA 9	95014		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
-			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/18/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/699,142	PARK ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jeff Piziali	2629		

	Jeff Piziali	2629	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 May 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidav al (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	g date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (to MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slate forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a brief	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause
(a) $oxtime$ They raise new issues that would require further con		TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally rei	acted claims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11		ected ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		impliant / interiornent (1 102 02+).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alk		timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	swapie ii dabiiiited iii a deparate,	aniony mod amondmon	it carrooming the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>5,7-11,15-17 and 20-25</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	hefore or on the date of filing a N	otice of Appeal will not	t he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	does NOT place the application i	a condition for allower	oo booyyoo
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application i	T condition for allowari	ce pecause.
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Jeff Piziali/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U 14 May 2010	Jnit 2629	

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The Applicant is thanked for the After-Final Amendment (filed on 13 May 2010).

However, if entered, the Applicant's proposed claim amendments would amend at least the subject matter:

"a control part receiving the analog signal and transforming the analog signal into a digital signal, the liquid crystal display device being controlled in response to the digital signal, wherein each of the pixels includes a first switching device and a pixel electrode electrically connected to the first switching device, wherein the first switching device includes a gate electrode electrically connected to a gate line, a source electrode electrically connected to a data line and a drain electrode electrically connected to the pixel electrode so that a data signal is provided to the pixel electrode through the data line and the source electrode, wherein the light-sensitive switching device is a second switching device electrically connected to receive a first analog signal from the data line and is turned on in response to the incident light to output the first analog signal to a third switching device outputting the first analog signal in response to a second analog signal applied to the gate line" in independent claim 5.

The proposed claim amendments, if incorporated into present claim language, would substantially alter inventive scope of the claims, requiring additional search and consideration.

Due to the proposed amendments not being entered, Applicant's arguments are not commensurate in scope with the current claims.

By such reasoning, non-entry of the proposed Amendment (filed on 13 May 2010) is deemed proper and necessary at this time.

/Jeff Piziali/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2629 14 May 2010